Project Review part 3

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | What needs to be Addressed | Success of implementation | Who is fixing it? |
| Understandable | Comments need to be added in JS functions for clarity. | Comments have been added to our code for clarification. | Kate |
| Changeable | Functions implemented for each individual process. No function should have more than one job. | The functions created in the JS file are simple and can be changed without having to do major surgery to the program. | Jack |
| Reusability | Code must be implemented in such a way that it can be reused. | We had to change some of our functions to follow reusability but overall, the program is modular. | Hamza |
| Performance | Must be efficient, functions should be clear and concise. | The program is not the most efficient, but it is not sluggish. | Zeeshan |
| Quality | Code must be understood by every member on the team | Each function has comments on the top describing what the function does, and the functions are relatively clear. | Carter |
| Security | Secure login and payment processing. | The login is secure, and the processing works. | Hamza |
| Testable | Can be tested in chunks. | The code can be and has been tested in chunks. | Seth |